arXiv:1411.2219v1 [math.SG] 9 Nov 2014

HOFER'S LENGTH SPECTRUM OF SYMPLECTIC SURFACES

MICHAEL KHANEVSKY

Abstract. Following a question of F. Le Roux, we consider a system of invariants $l_A : H_1(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ of a symplectic surface M. These invariants compute the minimal Hofer energy needed to translate a disk of area A along a given homology class and can be seen as a symplectic analogue of the Riemannian length spectrum. When M has genus zero we also construct Hofer- and C_0-continuous quasimorphisms $Ham(M) \to H_1(M)$ that compute trajectories of periodic nondisplaceable disks.

1. Introduction and results

Let (M, ω) be an open symplectic surface of finite type, possibly with boundary. Pick an open disk $\mathcal{D} \subset M$ of $\text{Area}(\mathcal{D}) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \omega = A$ and a compactly supported Hamiltonian $\phi \in Ham(M)$ such that $\phi(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}$. We define the *trajectory* $\text{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi) \in \pi_1(M)$ in the following way. Pick a Hamiltonian isotopy ϕ_t which connects the identity to ϕ. Roughly speaking, $\text{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi)$ is the free homotopy class of the trajectory of $\mathcal{D}$ under ϕ_t. More formally, pick $x \in \mathcal{D}$ and denote by $\gamma \subset \mathcal{D}$ a curve which connects $\phi(x)$ with x. We catenate the trajectory of x under ϕ_t with γ:

$$\text{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi) = [\{\phi_t(x)\}_t * \gamma] \in \pi_1(M).$$

It is not difficult to see that $\text{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi)$ does not depend on the choice of x, γ and ϕ_t.

Denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the Hofer norm on the group $Ham(M)$:

$$\|\phi\| = \inf \int_0^1 \max_{p \in M} H(p,t) - \min_{p \in M} H(p,t) \mathrm{d}t,$$

where the infimum goes over all compactly supported Hamiltonians $H : M \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that ϕ is the time-1 map of the corresponding flow.

Following F. Le Roux we consider the following invariant. Given $\alpha \in \pi_1(M)$ and a disk $\mathcal{D}$ of area $0 < A < \text{Area}(M)$ we define

$$l_A(\alpha) = \inf\{\|\phi\| \mid \phi \in Ham(M) \text{ s.t. } \phi(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D} \text{ and } \text{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi) = \alpha\}.$$

Since all disks in M of the same area are equivalent, l_A depends only on the area A and not on a choice of particular $\mathcal{D}$.

Clearly, l_A satisfies the triangle inequality: let a, b be two based loops with the same base point, denote $c = a * b$. Then $l_A([c]) \leq l_A([a]) + l_A([b])$. Using the argument from [LM], one shows that $l_A(\alpha) \geq A$ for all $\alpha \neq 0$. Namely, given $\phi \in Ham(M)$ with $\text{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi) \neq 0$, the lift of ϕ to the universal cover displaces a lift of $\mathcal{D}$, hence $\|\phi\| \geq A$ by the energy-capacity inequality. Therefore l_A is a nontrivial system of invariants which behaves as a symplectic analog of the length spectrum.

Question 5 from [Rou] addresses a computation of l_A in the case when M is an annulus and $\mathcal{D}$ is nondisplaceable. Its solution appeared in [Kha1], this paper extends the results to general surfaces.

Remark. One may change the definition of l_A and restrict attention to those ϕ that fix $\mathcal{D}$ pointwise. All estimates and results presented in this article remain true also for this setting, the same ideas apply after minor adjustments of the argument.

Date: November 11, 2014.

The author was supported by NSF grant DMS-1105813 and also a Simons instructorship.

In this article we discuss a weaker version of this invariant where we consider trajectories in a class $\alpha \in H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$. We prove the following:

Theorem 1. *given $0 < A < \mathrm{Area}(M)$ and $\alpha \in H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$, the following estimates hold:*

- *If $A \leq \mathrm{Area}(M)/2$, then $l_A(\alpha) \leq 2A$.*
- *If $genus(M) > 0$, then $l_A(\alpha) \leq 2A$.*
- *Otherwise ($genus(M) = 0$ and $A > \mathrm{Area}(M)/2$) $l_A : H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}$ is comparable to a homogeneous norm on $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$. It is not important which norm we consider: $H_1(M;\mathbb{R})$ is finitely generated, hence all homogeneous norms on $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$ are equivalent.*

Certain partial results are available also for the homotopical version $l_A : \pi_1(M) \to \mathbb{R}$, see the discussion in Section 4.2.

We also show:

Theorem 2. *Let (M,ω) be a disk with k punctures, pick $A \in \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Area}(M), \mathrm{Area}(M)\right)$. There exists a family $P_A = \{\rho_A\}$ of invariants $\rho_A : Ham(M) \to H_1(M;\mathbb{R})$ that satisfy:*

- *ρ_A is a homogeneous quasimorphism,*
- *ρ_A is Hofer-Lipschitz and C_0-continuous,*
- *Suppose that $\phi \in Ham(M)$ has an invariant disk D of $\mathrm{Area}(D) \geq A$. Then $\rho_A(\phi) = [\mathrm{traj}_D(\phi)] \in H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \subset H_1(M;\mathbb{R})$.*

P_A contains continuum of such quasimorphisms that are linearly independent.

These ρ_A can be seen as one of many ways to generalize the rotation number to dimension two. The Lipschitz property $|\rho_A(\phi)| \leq c\|\phi\|$ implies the lower bound for the third case of Theorem 1:

$$\begin{aligned} l_A(\alpha) &= \inf\{\|\phi\| \mid \phi(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D} \text{ and } [\mathrm{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi)] = \alpha)\} \geq \\ &\geq \inf\left\{\frac{|\rho_A(\phi)|}{c} \mid \phi(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D} \text{ and } [\mathrm{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi)] = \alpha)\right\} = \frac{|\alpha|}{c}. \end{aligned}$$

Additional properties and applications of invariants ρ_A are discussed in Section 4.4.

This paper is organized as follows. In order to show the upper bounds for l_A as in Theorem 1 we describe explicit Hamiltonian isotopies that achieve the desired energy bounds. Details are provided in Section 2. In Section 3 we construct ρ_A for Theorem 2 from the Calabi quasimorphism $Ham(S^2) \to \mathbb{R}$ described in [EP]. (Note that the conditions on M in Theorem 2 are equivalent to the statement that M embeds to a sphere.) Section 4 discusses possible generalizations of l_A and provides few applications of the quasimorphisms from Theorem 2.

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank D. Calegari, V. Humiliere, F. Le Roux and F. Zapolsky for useful discussions and comments.

2. Upper bounds

In this section we show upper bounds for Theorem 1. We construct explicit Hamiltonian isotopies that translate a disk along a given homology class and remain within the prescribed energy bound.

2.1. **Suppose $A = \mathrm{Area}\,\mathcal{D} \leq \mathrm{Area}(M)/2$.** We assume first that $A < \mathrm{Area}(M)/2$.

Consider the following Hamiltonian in $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathrm{d}x\wedge\mathrm{d}y)$. We pick two disjoint disks D_1 and D_2 of area A in the plane, connect them by two narrow nonintersecting strips ('pipes') as described in Figure 1. Let H be an autonomous Hamiltonian which equals one in the area bounded by the two disks and the pipes, zero outside and linearly interpolated in between. We apply to H a C_0-small smoothing near the singular points.

The flux of the Hamiltonian flow through a curve γ equals to the difference of values of H at the endpoints of γ. Hence if we choose γ to be a cut going to the inner region (where $H = 1$) from the outside, the flux through γ is one. This implies that the resulting



FIGURE 1.

flow is relatively slow inside the disks and accelerates in the pipes where such a cut γ can be very short. For appropriate choice of the smoothing of H the time-$(A+\varepsilon)$ map of the flow of H will move D_1 to D_2. (In fact, it is possible to arrange that the two disks will be swapped.) The Hofer norm is at most $\int_0^{A+\varepsilon}(\max H - \min H)\mathrm{d}t = A+\varepsilon$. ε depends on the area of the pipes and the choice of smoothing of H and can be made arbitrarily small. Note that the energy cost of $(A+\varepsilon)$ is optimal since every Hamiltonian that displaces D_1 has energy $\geq A$ by the energy-capacity inequality.

We augment this construction as in Figure 2: we choose the first pipe to go along an interval connecting D_1 with D_2 and let the second pipe lie in a small neighborhood of the two disks and the first pipe.

FIGURE 2.

This way the Hamiltonian H is supported in a small neighborhood of the two disks and an interval. This construction can be embedded to any surface given two disjoint copies of a disk and a simple path connecting boundary points of the disks. This allows us to translate a disk along a simple path.

Let D_1, D_2 be two disjoint copies of $\mathcal{D}$ in M and let γ_1 be a simple path connecting D_1 to D_2 and γ_2 be a simple path connecting D_2 to D_1. γ_1 and γ_2 may intersect one another but not the disks. We apply the construction above in order to move D_1 to D_2 along γ_1 and then move back to D_1 along γ_2. The total energy cost of such process equals $2\,\mathrm{Area}(\mathcal{D}) + 2\varepsilon$ and the result is a translation of the disk D_1 along a trajectory in class $[\gamma_1 * \gamma_2]$. (By abuse of notation we extend γ_1, γ_2 inside the disks so that they have the same endpoints and catenation makes sense.)



FIGURE 3.

The upper bound follows from the following topological lemma:

Lemma 3. *Let M be a surface and $\alpha \in \pi_1(M)$. Then there exist two simple curves γ_1, γ_2 with the same endpoints such that $\alpha = [\gamma_1 * -\gamma_2]$. ($-\gamma_2$ denotes γ_2 with reversed orientation.)*

Indeed, pick a class $\alpha \in \pi_1(M)$. By this lemma there exist two points $p, q \in M$ and two simple curves γ_1, γ_2 connecting them so that $[\gamma_1 * \gamma_2] = \alpha$. We replace p and q by small disks D_1, D_2 which intersect both curves only in small intervals near the endpoints. Pick a symplectic form ω' on M so that both disks have area A and the total area is $\int_M \omega' = \int_M \omega$. (M, ω') is symplectomorphic to (M, ω) by Moser's argument. We construct a Hamiltonian in (M, ω'): translate D_1 along γ_1 to D_2 and then back along γ_2. The resulting deformation ϕ satisfies

$$\mathrm{traj}_{D_1}(\phi) = [\gamma_1 * \gamma_2] = \alpha \in \pi_1(M)$$

at the cost of $2\,\mathrm{Area}(\mathcal{D}) + 2\varepsilon$, where ε is arbitrarily small.

Assume that $A = \mathrm{Area}(M)/2$ and fix $\alpha = [\gamma_1 * \gamma_2] \in \pi_1(M)$. Pick a smaller disk $D \subset \mathcal{D}$, suppose that $\mathrm{Area}(D) = A - \epsilon$. We apply the previous argument and construct $\phi \in Ham(M)$ which translates D along α. Then we deform $\phi(\mathcal{D})$ back to $\mathcal{D}$ by another Hamiltonian isotopy f which fixes the smaller disk D. Note that the annulus $\phi(\mathcal{D} \setminus D)$ is spread along $\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$ and its shape does not depend on the choice of D. This implies that f can be chosen with $\|f\| \leq c\epsilon$ where c depends on γ_1, γ_2 but not on ϵ. Therefore

$$l_A(\alpha) \leq \|\phi\| + \|f\| \leq 2A + 2\varepsilon + c\epsilon.$$

The result follows by letting $\varepsilon, \epsilon \to 0$.

Remark. Note that we proved the upper bound for $\alpha \in \pi_1(M)$ which, in particular, implies the same bound for the homological spectrum.

Proof of lemma. Pick $\alpha \in \pi_1(M)$. Let γ be a loop representing α which has finite number of transverse intersections. We deform γ so that it can be cut into a pair of simple curves. Pick arbitrary point $p \in \gamma$ which will be a starting point of γ_1. We draw γ_1 by traversing γ starting from p. We prevent self-intersections of γ_1 as follows. Once we arrive to a self-crossing we stretch the previously drawn path an push it in a small neighborhood in front of the pen (see Figure 4). After passing several crossing we will have a number of strings pushed in this manner. During of this process we construct a simple path which is homotopic to the corresponding arc of γ relative the endpoints. We stop the process when we arrive to a point $q \in \gamma$ such that the arc $[q, p] \subset \gamma$ is simple. Set $\gamma_2 = [q, p] \subset \gamma$. Lemma follows since γ_1 is homotopic to $[p, q] \subset \gamma$ relative the endpoints.



FIGURE 4.

□

2.2. **Arbitrary $\mathcal{D}$.** . We show first that one can translate a disk along a simple loop using energy $\mathrm{Area}(\mathcal{D}) + \varepsilon$. Then we consider separately the cases $genus(M) = 0$ and $genus(M) > 0$.

Consider the following Hamiltonian in $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y)$. We pick a disk $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of area A and connect two boundary points of the disk by a circular pipe. Let H be an autonomous Hamiltonian which equals one in the inner region, zero outside and linearly interpolated in between. We apply to H a C_0-small smoothing near the singular points (see Figure 5).



FIGURE 5.

The Hamiltonian flow of H is relatively slow inside the disk D and fast within the pipe. For an appropriate choice of a smoothing of H there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that D is a fixed set of the time-$(A + \varepsilon)$ map of the flow of H. The energy is at most $A + \varepsilon$ and ε depends on the area of the pipe and a choice of smoothing and can be made arbitrarily small.

We cut H off inside the circle so that it is supported in a small neighborhood of the disk and the pipe. Note that this cutoff does not affect the flow of H in the disk D and the pipe. This construction can be copied to any symplectic surface given a disk and a simple curve which connects boundary points of the disk and does not intersect it away from the endpoints. This implies the following result.

Lemma 4. *Suppose that $\alpha \neq 0$ is represented by a simple loop. Then $l_A(\alpha) = A$.*

Proof. Let γ be a simple loop representing α. As in the previous subsection, we deform ω so that there exists a disk of area A whose boundary points are connected by an arc of γ and this arc does not intersect the disk except for the endpoints. An application of the Hamiltonian described above implies $l_A(\alpha) \leq A + \varepsilon$. The lemma follows by letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ and from the fact that $l_A(\alpha) \geq A$. □

Corollary 5. *Let $S \subseteq H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be the set of classes represented by simple loops. It is easy to see that S generates $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Denote by $\| \cdot \|_S$ the norm on $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ given by word length with respect to S. The triangle inequality implies $l_A(\alpha) \leq A \cdot \|\alpha\|_S$. The same argument holds also for the homotopical length spectrum.*

Given any surface M, $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ admits a basis B represented by simple loops. By the triangle inequality, $l_A(\alpha) \leq A \cdot \|\alpha\|_B$ where $\| \cdot \|_B$ is the word length norm with respect to B. $\| \cdot \|_B$ is a homogeneous norm on $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$. This shows the upper bound for the third case in Theorem 1. A more careful argument may provide better constants. For example, in the case of an annulus M the bound can be improved to

$$l_A(n \cdot \text{generator}) \leq (2A - \mathrm{Area}(M)) \cdot |n| + \mathrm{Area}(M)$$

(see [Kha1]).

Suppose that $genus(M) > 0$. The upper bound for Theorem 1 follows from the lemma below and Corollary 5.

Lemma 6. *Let M be a surface with positive genus. Then every homology class in $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$ can be represented by a sum of two simple loops.*

Proof. Step 1: Suppose $M = S^1 \times S^1$ is a torus. $\alpha = [\{pt\} \times S^1]$ and $\beta = [S^1 \times \{pt\}]$ generate $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} < \alpha, \beta >$. Any class $n\alpha + m\beta$ can be decomposed into the sum

$$n\alpha + m\beta = \big((n-1)\alpha + \beta\big) + \big(\alpha + (m-1)\beta\big)$$

where each summand is represented by a simple loop (see Figure 6).



FIGURE 6.

Step 2: Suppose $M = S^1 \times S^1 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ is a punctured torus. Without loss of generality we assume that all punctures lie on a horizontal meridian. $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$ admits a basis of k vertical meridians $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ and a horizontal meridian β (see Figure 7). Then every

$$\alpha = m_1\alpha_1 + \ldots + m_k\alpha_k + n\beta \in H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$$

admits a decomposition

$$\alpha = \big((m_1-1)\alpha_1 + \ldots + m_k\alpha_k + \beta\big) + \big(\alpha_1 + (m-1)\beta\big)$$

where each summand is represented by a simple loop.



FIGURE 7.

Step 3: Suppose $genus(M) > 1$. M can be decomposed into a connected sum of punctured tori

$$M = T_1 \# \ldots \# T_l, \quad H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) = H_1(T_1;\mathbb{Z}) + \ldots + H_1(T_l;\mathbb{Z}).$$

We identify $H_1(T_i;\mathbb{Z})$ with their image in $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$ by abuse of notation.

Pick $\alpha \in H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$. There exist $\alpha_i \in H_1(T_i;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\alpha = \sum \alpha_i$. By the previous step we choose homology classes $\beta_i, \beta_i' \in H_1(T_i;\mathbb{Z})$ represented by simple loops such that $\alpha_i = \beta_i + \beta_i'$. Now

$$\alpha = \sum \alpha_i = \sum (\beta_i + \beta_i') = \sum \beta_i + \sum \beta_i'.$$

Both $\sum \beta_i$ and $\sum \beta_i'$ are represented by simple loops: consider a connected sum of the corresponding simple loops in each T_i. The result is a simple loop in M since T_i are disjoint. □



3. Construction of quasimorphisms ρ_A

Let G be a group. A function $r : G \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a *quasimorphism* if there exists a constant R such that $|r(fg) - r(f) - r(g)| \leq R$ for all $f, g \in G$. R is called the *defect* of r. The quasimorphism r is *homogeneous* if it satisfies $r(g^m) = mr(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Any homogeneous quasimorphism satisfies $r(fg) = r(f) + r(g)$ for commuting elements f, g and is invariant under conjugations.

We construct quasimorphisms ρ_A described in Theorem 2. Recall that M is a surface of finite type of genus zero. M has finite area, so without loss of generality we rescale ω to get $\mathrm{Area}(M) = 1$. Such M is symplectomorphic to the interior of a k-times punctured disk $U \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ where k is the rank of $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{Area}(U) = 1$.

Proposition 7. *Let $(\mathbb{A}, \omega)$ be an annulus (disk with one puncture). Suppose that $\mathrm{Area}(\mathbb{A}) = 1$. Pick $\frac{1}{2} < A < 1$ and choose a generator α for $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$. There exists a family $P_A = \{\rho_A\}$ of invariants $\rho_A : Ham(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfy:*

- *ρ_A is a homogeneous quasimorphism,*
- *ρ_A is Hofer-Lipschitz and C_0-continuous,*
- *Suppose that $\phi \in Ham(\mathbb{A})$ has an invariant disk D of $\mathrm{Area}(D) \geq A$. Then $[\mathrm{traj}_D(\phi)] = \rho_A(\phi) \cdot \alpha$.*

P_A contains continuum of such quasimorphisms that are linearly independent.

The proposition implies Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Pick such a quasimorphism $\rho_{\mathbb{A}} : Ham(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{R}$ and denote by

$$i_j : U \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \hookrightarrow U \setminus \{p_j\} \simeq \mathbb{A}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k$$

the embeddings obtained by gluing all punctures except for p_j and identifying the resulting once punctured disk with $\mathbb{A}$. The pullback quasimorphisms $\rho_j = i_j^* \rho_{\mathbb{A}} : Ham(U \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the Lipschitz and continuity properties. Given a Hamiltonian ϕ with a fixed disk $\mathcal{D}$ of area $\geq A$, $\rho_j(\phi)$ counts the winding number of $\mathrm{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi)$ around p_j. Denote by γ_j a small positively oriented circle in U around p_j which does not encircle other punctures. $\{[\gamma_j]\}_{j=1}^k$ is a basis for $H_1(U \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}; \mathbb{Z})$. The dual basis $\{[\gamma_j]^*\}$ allows us to write

$$\rho_j(\phi) = [\gamma_j]^*[\mathrm{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi)]$$

for all Hamiltonians ϕ with a fixed disk $\mathcal{D}$ as above. This implies

$$[\mathrm{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi)] = \sum_{j=1}^{k} [\gamma_j]^*[\mathrm{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi)] \cdot [\gamma_j] = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \rho_j(\phi)[\gamma_j].$$

$$\rho_A = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \rho_j(\cdot)[\gamma_j] : Ham(U \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}) \to H_1(U \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}; \mathbb{R})$$

is a homogeneous quasimorphism which satisfies all properties of Theorem 2. Continuum of linearly independent choices of $\rho_{\mathbb{A}}$ implies that for a choice of ρ_A. □

Proof of Proposition 7 takes the rest of this section. It can be found in [Kha1], we give it below for the sake of completeness. We use notation $\mathbb{A} = S^1 \times (0,1) \simeq \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times (0,1)$ equipped with the standard symplectic form $\omega = \mathrm{d}\theta \wedge \mathrm{d}h$, $\mathrm{Area}(\mathbb{A}) = 1$. Without loss of generality we assume that the generator $\alpha \in H_1(\mathbb{A};\mathbb{Z})$ is represented by the positively oriented circle $S^1 \times \{0.5\}$. Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{A}$ be a disk with $\mathrm{Area}(\mathcal{D}) = A$, denote $L = \partial\mathcal{D}$. Put $S = \{\phi \in Ham(\mathbb{A}) \,|\, \phi(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}\}$ to be the stabilizer of $\mathcal{D}$ in $Ham(\mathbb{A})$. We denote $S_n = \{\phi \in S \,|\, [\mathrm{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi)] = n\alpha\}$.

Pick a function $\widehat{H} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support such that $\widehat{H}(\theta, h) = h$ away from a small neighborhood of $\partial\mathbb{A}$. Denote by $\widehat{\Phi}$ the time-1 map of the Hamiltonian flow generated by $\widehat{H}$. It is easy to see that $\widehat{\Phi} \in S$ with trajectory $[\mathrm{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\widehat{\Phi})] = \alpha$. Note that $S = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} S_n = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\Phi}^n S_0$. We construct a quasimorphism ρ_A.

Step I: it is sufficient to construct a homogeneous quasimorphism $\rho : Ham(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies:

- ρ is Hofer-Lipschitz,
- $\rho(S_0) = 0$, $\rho(\widehat{\Phi}) = c > 0$,
- ρ vanishes on $Ham(U)$ for all disks $U \subset \mathbb{A}$.

Proof. ρ vanishes on Hamiltonians supported in a disk. It follows from the results of [EPP] that such ρ is continuous in the C^0-topology. Any $\phi \in S_n$ decomposes as $\phi = \widehat{\Phi}^n \circ s$ for some $s \in S_0$. Hence

$$|\rho(\phi) - cn| = |\rho(\widehat{\Phi}^n \circ s) - n\rho(\widehat{\Phi}) - 0| = |\rho(\widehat{\Phi}^n \circ s) - \rho(\widehat{\Phi}^n) - \rho(s)| < R.$$

(R denotes the defect of ρ). It follows that

$$\rho(\phi) = c \cdot n + \delta_\phi$$

where $|\delta_\phi| \leq R$. Note that $\phi^k \in S_{nk}$, hence by homogenuity

$$\rho(\phi) = \frac{\rho(\phi^k)}{k} = \frac{c \cdot nk + \delta_{\phi^k}}{k} = c \cdot n + \frac{\delta_{\phi^k}}{k}$$

which implies $\rho(\phi) = c \cdot n$ in the limit as $k \to \infty$.

We set $\rho_A = \frac{\rho}{c}$. Suppose that $\phi \in Ham(\mathbb{A})$ has an invariant disk D of $\mathrm{Area}(D) \geq A$.

(1) We consider the case $D = \mathcal{D}$. Then $\phi \in S_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\rho_A(\phi) \cdot \alpha = \frac{\rho(\phi)}{c} \cdot \alpha = n\alpha = [\mathrm{traj}_D(\phi)].$$

(2) The case $\mathrm{Area}(D) = A$. Pick $g \in Ham(\mathbb{A})$ such that $g(D) = \mathcal{D}$. Then $g\phi g^{-1} \in S$ hence

$$\rho_A(\phi) \cdot \alpha = \rho_A(g\phi g^{-1}) \cdot \alpha = [\mathrm{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(g\phi g^{-1})] = [\mathrm{traj}_D(\phi)].$$

(3) The case $\mathrm{Area}(D) > A$. Pick a smaller disk $D_1 \subset D$ with $\mathrm{Area}(D_1) = A$. $\phi(D) = D$, choose $g \in Ham(D)$ such that $g(\phi(D_1)) = D_1$. Then D_1 is a fixed disk of area A for $g\phi$ hence

$$\rho_A(g\phi) \cdot \alpha = [\mathrm{traj}_{D_1}(g\phi)] = [\mathrm{traj}_D(\phi)].$$

We claim that $\rho_A(g\phi) = \rho_A(\phi)$. Indeed, the disk D is fixed for $g\phi$, ϕ and their iterates. Moreover, $(g\phi)^n$ differs from ϕ^n by a Hamiltonian $f_n \in Ham(D)$. Hence by the homogenuity of ρ_A

$$|\rho_A((g\phi)^n) - \rho_A(\phi^n) - \rho_A(f_n)| = |n\rho_A(g\phi) - n\rho_A(\phi) - 0| = n \cdot |\rho_A(g\phi) - \rho_A(\phi)|$$

is bounded by the defect of ρ_A. Letting $n \to \infty$ we show $\rho_A(\phi) = \rho_A(g\phi)$.

□

Step II: in order to build ρ we use the Calabi quasimorphism on $Ham(S^2)$ which was constructed by M. Entov and L. Polterovich in [EP]. We give a brief recollection of the relevant facts.

Let U be an open disk equipped with a symplectic form ω. Let $F_t : U \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \in [0,1]$ be a time-dependent smooth function with compact support. We define

$$\widetilde{Cal}(F_t) = \int_0^1 \left(\int_U F_t \omega \right) \mathrm{d}t.$$

As ω is exact on U, $\widetilde{Cal}$ descends to a homomorphism $Cal_U : Ham(U) \to \mathbb{R}$ which is called the Calabi homomorphism. Clearly, for $\phi \in Ham(U)$, $|Cal_U(\phi)| \leq \mathrm{Area}(U) \cdot \|\phi\|$.

Let S^2 be a sphere equipped with a symplectic form ω. Suppose $\mathrm{Area}(S^2) = 2A$. For a smooth function $F : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ the Reeb graph T_F is defined as the set of connected components of level sets of F (for a more detailed definition we refer the reader to [EP]). For a generic Morse function F this set, equipped with the topology induced by the projection $\pi_F : S^2 \to T_F$, is homeomorphic to a tree. We endow T_F with a measure given by $\mu(X) = \int_{\pi_F^{-1}(X)} \omega$ for any $X \subseteq T_F$ with measurable $\pi_F^{-1}(X)$. $x \in T_F$ is called a *median* of T_F if the measure of each connected component of $T_F \setminus \{x\}$ does not exceed A. By [EP] a median exists and is unique. This construction can be extended to functions F such that $F\big|_{supp(F)}$ is Morse.

[EP] describes construction of a homogeneous quasimorphism $Cal_{S^2} : Ham(S^2) \to \mathbb{R}$. It has the following properties: Cal_{S^2} is Hofer-Lipschitz ($|Cal_{S^2}(\phi)| \leq 2A \cdot \|\phi\|$). In the case when $\phi \in Ham(S^2)$ is supported in a disk U which is displaceable in S^2, $Cal_{S^2}(\phi) = Cal_U(\phi\big|_U)$. Moreover, for $\phi \in Ham(S^2)$ generated by an autonomous function $F : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, $Cal_{S^2}(\phi)$ can be computed in the following way. Let x be the median of T_F and $X = \pi_F^{-1}(x)$ be the corresponding subset of S^2. Then

$$Cal_{S^2}(\phi) = \int_{S^2} F\omega - 2A \cdot F(X).$$

Given a symplectic embedding $j : \mathbb{A} \to S^2$ into a sphere of area $2A$, consider the pullback $Cal_j = j^*(Cal_{S^2}) : Ham(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{R}$. Namely, given $\phi \in Ham(\mathbb{A})$, extend $j_*(\phi)$ to $\tilde{\phi} \in Ham(S^2)$ by identity on the complement of $j(\mathbb{A})$. Then $Cal_j(\phi) = Cal_{S^2}(\tilde{\phi})$. Clearly, Cal_j is a homogeneous quasimorphism. It has the following properties:

- $Cal_j(\phi) = Cal_D(\phi\big|_D)$ for any ϕ supported in a disk D of area A. To see that note that the corresponding $\tilde{\phi} \in Ham(S^2)$ is supported in a displaceable disk $j(D)$ in S^2.
- $$|Cal_j(\phi)| = \left| Cal_{S^2}\left(\tilde{\phi}\right) \right| \leq 2A \cdot \left\| \tilde{\phi} \right\|_{S^2} \leq 2A \cdot \|\phi\|_{\mathbb{A}}.$$
- for an autonomous ϕ generated by a compactly supported function $H : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$,
$$Cal_j(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{A}} H\omega - 2A \cdot H(X)$$
where $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ is the level set component which is sent by j to the median set of $j_*(H)$ in S^2.

Consider the embeddings $j_s : \mathbb{A} \to S^2$ ($0 \leq s \leq 2A-1$) into a sphere of area $2A$ that are given by gluing a disk of area s to $S^1 \times \{0\}$ and a disk of area $(2A-1-s)$ to $S^1 \times \{1\}$. This construction ensures that $j_s(L) = j_s(\partial \mathcal{D})$ bisects S^2 into two displaceable disks.

We pick $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq 2A-1$ and set

$$\rho = \rho_{s_1,s_2} = Cal_{j_{s_2}} - Cal_{j_{s_1}}. \tag{1}$$

We claim that ρ satisfies conditions of Step I. Obviously, ρ is a homogeneous quasimorphism on $Ham(\mathbb{A})$ which satisfies the Lipschitz property:

$$|\rho(\phi)| \leq |Cal_{j_{s_2}}(\phi)| + |Cal_{j_{s_1}}(\phi)| \leq 4A \cdot \|\phi\|.$$

Consider the function $\widehat{H}$ which was used to define the Hamiltonian $\widehat{\Phi}$ described above. It is easy to see that the "median" level set X_s of $\widehat{H}$ which is relevant for the computation

of $Cal_{j_s}(\widehat{\Phi})$ is $S^1 \times \{A - s\}$. Hence

$$Cal_{j_s}(\widehat{\Phi}) = \int_{\mathbb{A}} \widehat{H}\omega - 2A \cdot \widehat{H}(X_s) = \int_{\mathbb{A}} \widehat{H}\omega - 2A \cdot (A - s).$$

This implies

$$\rho(\widehat{\Phi}) = Cal_{j_{s_2}}(\widehat{\Phi}) - Cal_{j_{s_1}}(\widehat{\Phi}) = 2A \cdot [-(A - s_2) + (A - s_1)] = 2A \cdot (s_2 - s_1) > 0.$$

Suppose that $\phi \in Ham(U)$ for some disk $U \subset \mathbb{A}$. Then

$$\rho(\phi) = Cal_{j_{s_2}}(\phi) - Cal_{j_{s_1}}(\phi) = Cal_{S^2}(j_{s_2,*}(\phi)) - Cal_{S^2}(j_{s_1,*}(\phi)) = 0$$

since $j_{s_2,*}(\phi)$ is conjugate to $j_{s_1,*}(\phi)$.

It is left to show that ρ vanishes on S_0. Denote by S_0' the subgroup of S_0 which fixes a neighborhood of L pointwise.

Lemma 8. *Let q be a homogeneous quasimorphism which is Hofer-continuous and vanishes on S_0'. Then q vanishes on S_0.*

Proof. Pick an open disk $U \subset \mathbb{A} \setminus L$. $Ham(U) \subset S_0'$, therefore q vanishes on $Ham(U)$. It follows from the results of [EPP] that q is continuous in the C^0-topology.

Suppose $\phi \in S_0$. This implies $\phi(L) = L$ (as a set). Applying an appropriate isotopy $\psi \in S_0$ supported near L, we may ensure that $\psi \circ \phi = \mathbb{1}$ on L. Moreover, ψ may be chosen with arbitrarily small Hofer norm. Further, we may find a C^0-small diffeomorphism $h \in Ham(\mathbb{A})$ such that $h \circ \psi \circ \phi = \mathbb{1}$ in a neighborhood of L. It follows that $h \circ \psi \circ \phi \in S_0'$ and $q(h \circ \psi \circ \phi) = 0$. Hofer- and C^0-continuity of q imply that $q(\phi) = 0$. □

Let $\phi \in S_0'$. We show that $\rho(\phi) = 0$. ϕ splits to a composition $\phi = \phi_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \phi_P$ where $\phi_{\mathcal{D}}$ is supported in $\mathcal{D}$ and ϕ_P is supported in the pair of pants $P = \mathbb{A} \setminus \mathcal{D}$. $\phi_{\mathcal{D}}, \phi_P$ have disjoint supports, therefore they commute. Hence $\rho(\phi) = \rho(\phi_{\mathcal{D}}) + \rho(\phi_P)$. Note that $\phi_{\mathcal{D}}$ is supported in a disk, so $\rho(\phi_{\mathcal{D}}) = 0$.

ϕ_P is Hamiltonian in $\mathbb{A}$, but after the restriction to P we have just $\bar{\phi}_P = \phi_P\big|_P \in Symp_c(P)$. In the argument below we apply a sequence of deformations to ϕ_P in order to get ϕ_P' whose restriction $\bar{\phi}_P' \in Ham(P)$. All deformations involved in the process preserve the value $\rho(\phi_P)$. Finally, we show that $\rho(\phi_P') = 0$ by explicit computation.

The mapping class group $\pi_0(Symp_c(P))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^3$ and is generated by Dehn twists near the three boundary components. For the proof of this fact we refer the reader to [FM] where the authors show that $\pi_0(Diff_c(P)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^3$ and is generated by Dehn twists. Note that $\phi, \psi \in Symp_c(P)$ are isotopic in $Symp_c$ if and only if they are isotopic in $Diff_c$. As Dehn twists belong to $Symp_c(P)$, the statement for $\pi_0(Symp_c(P))$ follows.

Denote by T_1, T_0 Dehn twists near $S^1 \times \{1\}$, $S^1 \times \{0\}$ and by T_L a Dehn twist in P near $L = \partial\mathcal{D}$. There are Hamiltonians ψ_L in S_0' with arbitrary small Hofer norm whose restriction to P realizes the Dehn twist T_L. For example, consider a bump function supported near $\mathcal{D}$ which has small height but is very steep in an annulus near L. $\bar{\phi}_P$ is isotopic in $Symp_c(P)$ to some $T_1^{k_1} T_0^{k_0} T_L^{k_L}$ ($k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$). If $k_L \neq 0$ we replace the original $\phi \in S_0'$ by $\psi_L^{-k_L} \circ \phi \in S_0'$. As $\|\psi_L\|$ can be chosen to be arbitrarily small, by continuity of ρ it is enough to show the desired statement for the deformed ϕ. After the replacement k_L vanishes, hence the modified $\bar{\phi}_P \sim T_1^{k_1} T_0^{k_0}$. Note that $\bar{\phi}_P$ is induced by a Hamiltonian $\phi \in S$. The definition of $\mathrm{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi)$ implies that $k_1 \alpha = [\mathrm{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi)] = -k_0 \alpha$ (recall that α is the chosen generator of $H_1(\mathbb{A}; \mathbb{Z})$). The minus sign appears because opposite orientations of the boundary components result in the opposite directions of the corresponding Dehn twists. Moreover, as $\phi \in S_0'$, $[\mathrm{traj}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi)] = 0$ hence $k_1 = k_0 = 0$. Therefore the restriction $\bar{\phi}_P$ belongs to the identity component of $Symp_c(P)$.

Pick $K : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ supported in a small neighborhood of $\mathcal{D}$ such that $K = 1$ in a neighborhood of the closure $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$. Denote by χ^t the time-t map generated by the Hamiltonian flow of K. χ^t is supported in a disk in $\mathbb{A}$, hence $\rho(\chi^t) = 0$ for all t.

Consider the homomorphism $i_* : H_c^1(P;\mathbb{R}) \to H_c^1(\mathbb{A};\mathbb{R})$ induced by inclusion $i : P \to \mathbb{A}$. Both χ^t, ϕ_P are Hamiltonian in $\mathbb{A}$, hence their fluxes are zero in $H_c^1(\mathbb{A};\mathbb{R})$. After restriction to P, $flux(\chi^t\big|_P), flux(\bar{\phi}_P)$ belong to one-dimensional subspace $\ker i_* \subset H_c^1(P;\mathbb{R})$. $flux(\chi^t\big|_P) \neq 0$, therefore one can find an appropriate $t_\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi'_P = \chi^{t_\phi} \circ \phi_P$ restricts to $\bar{\phi}'_P = \chi^{t_\phi}\big|_P \circ \bar{\phi}_P$ with zero flux in P. The results of [Ban] imply $\bar{\phi}'_P \in Ham(P)$.

Pick a compactly supported function $F_t : P \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ whose flow generates $\bar{\phi}'_P$. Denote by U_s the complement of the closed disk $\overline{j_s(\mathcal{D})}$ in S^2, it is a displaceable disk. $j_{s,*}(\phi'_P) \in Ham(S^2)$ and it is supported in U_s, therefore

$$Cal_{j_s}(\phi'_P) = Cal_{U_s}(j_{s,*}(\phi'_P)) = \int_0^1 \left(\int_{U_s} j_{s,*} F_t \omega \right) \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^1 \left(\int_P F_t \omega \right) \mathrm{d}t$$

is independent of s. Hence $\rho(\phi'_P) = 0$. From $\phi'_P = \chi^{t_\phi} \circ \phi_P$ we obtain that

$$|\rho(\phi'_P) - \rho(\chi^{t_\phi}) - \rho(\phi_P)| = |\rho(\phi_P)|$$

is bounded by the defect of ρ. It follows that ρ is bounded on the subgroup S'_0. As ρ is homogeneous, it vanishes there.

Remark. Choice of different parameters s_1, s_2 in (1) gives rise to different quasimorphisms $\rho_A = \rho_{A,s_1,s_2}$. If we fix s_1 and let s_2 vary, we get a linearly independent family. We show that by an argument from [BEP]: let $H(\theta, h) = H(h) : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function which depends only on h and denote by $\phi_H \in Ham(\mathbb{A})$ the corresponding Hamiltonian. The median level set relevant for $Cal_{j_s}(\phi_H)$ is $S^1 \times \{A - s\}$, hence

$$\rho_{A,s_1,s_2}(\phi_H) = Cal_{j_{s_2}}(\phi_H) - Cal_{j_{s_1}}(\phi_H) = 2A \cdot (H(A - s_1) - H(A - s_2)).$$

If we consider the set of all Hamiltonians generated by functions $H = H(h)$, the values of the family $\{\rho_{A,s_1,s_2}\}_{s_2}$ applied to this set are linearly independent.

4. Discussion

4.1. **Comparison with the Riemannian length spectrum.** In the case of a Riemannian manifold (M, g) the marked length spectrum $l : \pi_1(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ contains a lot of information regarding the metric g. For example, in a closed negatively curved surface (M, g), g is completely determined by l ([Ota]).

The symplectic analogue looks much less rewarding. The only case when $l_A : H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}$ is not bounded is the case of a punctured disk. Therefore Hofer's length spectrum is able to detect genus zero surfaces of bounded area. It may happen that more accurate estimates of l_A provide additional information regarding the topology of M.

On the other side, the symplectic setting is less rich than the Riemannian one. The equivalence class of (M, ω) is determined by the genus, $\mathrm{rk}(H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}))$ and $\mathrm{Area}(M)$. In the case of finite area ω is just a scaling factor, hence asymptotic behavior behavior of l_A depends only on the topology of M. Clearly, l_A is able to extract some of the topological information of M. This result may be interpreted as the fact that the geometry of $Ham(M)$ sees some of the topology of M.

4.2. **Homotopical spectrum.** It would be interesting to provide similar estimates for $l_A : \pi_1(M) \to \mathbb{R}$. When we consider the asymptotical behavior of l_A, it is more convenient to discuss the stabilized version

$$\bar{l}_A(\alpha) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{l_A(n\alpha)}{n}.$$

The homological version of $\bar{l}_A$ is a norm on H_1 when $2A > \mathrm{Area}(M)$ and $genus(M) = 0$. In all other cases $\bar{l}_A \equiv 0$.

If we replace H_1 by π_1, the argument from the previous sections gives the following partial information:

- Suppose that $2A \leq \mathrm{Area}(M)$. Then $\bar{l}_A \equiv 0$. This is true since the argument of Section 2.1 applies for π_1 and not just H_1.

- Suppose $2A > \text{Area}(M)$ and $genus(M) > 0$. Assume that α is represented by a simple nonseparating loop. Then $n\alpha$ can be represented by catenation of two simple loops, hence $l_A(n\alpha) \leq 2A$. Therefore $\bar{l}_A(\alpha) = 0$ and $\bar{l}_A(k\alpha) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- Suppose $2A > \text{Area}(M)$ and $genus(M) = 0$. If α corresponds to a nonzero homology class then $\bar{l}_A(\alpha) > 0$.

The author does not know estimates for most of the remaining cases. For example, let $2A > \text{Area}(M)$ and $genus(M)geq2$. For a primitive self intersecting class α the number of simple curves needed to represent $n\alpha$ is not bounded as $n \to \infty$ (see [Cal]), so the argument for the upper bound does not give a lot. On the other hand, we do not know any tools that may give a nontrivial lower bound. There are several constructions of quasimorphisms on positive genus surfaces, but none of them is known to respect Hofer's metric. When $genus(M) = 0$ and α belongs to the commutator subgroup $[\pi_1(M), \pi_1(M)]$, the argument used in this paper fails as translation by α cannot be realized by an autonomous flow. It may happen that Entov-Polterovich quasimorphisms used in our argument still imply a nontrivial lower bound. Unfortunately, the author was not able to compute them on appropriate non-autonomous examples.

D. Calegari observed that if one takes the word length norm with respect to the generating set of simple loops, then A times its stabilized version $A\|\cdot\|_S$ satisfies all properties of $\bar{l}_A$ described above (we assume $2A > \text{Area}(M)$). Indeed, the discussion in Section 2 implies $\bar{l}_A \leq A\|\cdot\|_S$ and we do not know any example where the inequality is strict.

4.3. **Higher dimensions.** Most arguments and constructions used in this paper completely fail when one considers balls or tori in higher dimension manifolds. For example, upper bounds for $l_A(\alpha)$ were induced by decomposition of α into simple loops. In dimension ≥ 4 any loop can be perturbed into a simple one. However, we cannot translate a ball through a pipe containing such simple loop because of the 'symplectic camel' phenomenon.

4.4. **The quasimorphism ρ_A.** Theorem 2 describes quasimorphisms $\rho_A : Ham(M) \to H_1(M;\mathbb{R})$ for a k times punctured disk M. ρ_A can be seen as a generalization of the rotation number $\rho : \widetilde{Homeo}_+(S^1) \to \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, ρ satisfies the following: it is Lipschitz with respect to the C_0-norm on $\widetilde{Homeo}_+(S^1)$. If $f \in \widetilde{Homeo}_+(S^1)$ has a fixed point x, then $\rho(f)$ computes the degree of the trajectory of x under f. In fact, ρ is determined by the second property, while there is a continuous family if linearly independent homogeneous quasimorphisms satisfying same properties as ρ_A.

Let f_t be a Hamiltonian isotopy and $\mathcal{D}$ a disk of area A or greater. The computation in Section 3 shows that ρ_A vanishes on those isotopies f_t that fix $\partial\mathcal{D}$ for all t. This implies that the value $\rho_A(f_1)$ is determined up to a bounded defect by the trajectory $f_t(\partial\mathcal{D})$. That is, for any g_t such that $g_t(\partial\mathcal{D}) = f_t(\partial\mathcal{D})$, $\rho_A(g_1) - \rho_A(f_1)$ is bounded. Therefore ρ_A can be thought as an invariant of Hamiltonian isotopies of $\partial\mathcal{D}$.

In particular, ρ_A nearly ignores those dynamical attributes that do not affect a large enough disk in M, it sees only 'global' features that deform all large disks. In this sense it is different from most other generalizations of rotation number to dimension two.

A possible application is the ability to detect non-existence of large fixed or periodic disks. Namely, if we pick two such quasimorphisms ρ_A, ρ'_B and $\rho_A(f) \neq \rho'_B(f)$, then f has neither fixed nor periodic disks of area $\geq \max\{A, B\}$. More than that, if one wishes to perturb f in order to have such a periodic disk, $\rho_A(f) - \rho'_B(f)$ allows to estimate a lower bound on Hofer's energy of such perturbation. However, current techniques allow to compute the value of ρ_A in very limited number of scenarios (like the case of autonomous Hamiltonians, locally supported and etc.) which limits practical use of this observation.

Another application is as follows. Pick a non-displaceable disk $\mathcal{D}$ in M and two linearly independent quasimorphisms ρ_A, ρ'_B where $A, B \leq \text{Area}\,\mathcal{D}$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the space of Lagrangians Hamiltonian isotopic to $\partial\mathcal{D}$. Suppose that f_t is a Hamiltonian isotopy. A

simple computation shows that $\tau = \rho_A - \rho'_B$ depends (up to a bounded defect) just on $f_1(\partial\mathcal{D}) \in \mathcal{L}$. This way τ gives rise to an invariant on $\mathcal{L}$ which is Lipschitz with respect to the induced Hofer's norm. τ is not bounded since it is a nonzero homogeneous quasimorphism, therefore by Lipschitz property the space $\mathcal{L}$ is not bounded in Hofer's norm as well (a similar argument with more details can be found in [Kha2]).

References

[Ban] A. Banyaga. *The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups*, volume 400 of *Mathematics and Its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht; Boston, 1997.

[BEP] P. Biran, M. Entov, and L. Polterovich. Calabi quasimorphisms for the symplectic ball. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 6(5):793–802, 2004.

[Cal] D. Calegari. Word length in surface groups with characteristic generating sets. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136(7):2631–2637, 2008.

[EP] M. Entov and L. Polterovich. Calabi quasimorphism and quantum homology. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2003(30):1635–1676, 2003.

[EPP] M. Entov, L. Polterovich, and P. Py. On continuity of quasimorphisms for symplectic maps. In *Perspectives in Analysis, Geometry, and Topology (a volume dedicated to Oleg Viro's 60th birthday)*, volume 296 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2012.

[FM] B. Farb and D. Margalit. *A Primer on Mapping Class Groups*. Princeton Mathematical Series. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2011.

[Kha1] M. Khanevsky. Hofer's norm and disk translations in an annulus. Can be found at arXiv:1111.1923 [math.SG].

[Kha2] M. Khanevsky. *Geometric and topological aspects of Lagrangian submanifolds - intersections, diameter and Floer theory*. PhD thesis, Tel Aviv University, 2011.

[LM] F. Lalonde and D. McDuff. Hofer's l^∞-geometry: energy and stability of hamiltonian flows, part ii. *Invent. Math.*, 122(1):35–69, 1995.

[Ota] J.-P. Otal. Le spectre marque des longueurs des surfaces a courbure negative. *Annals of Math.*, 131:151–162, 1990.

[Rou] F. Le Roux. Six questions, a proposition and two pictures on Hofer distance for Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms on surfaces. In Y.-G. Oh A. Fathi and C. Viterbo, editors, *Symplectic Topology and Measure Preserving Dynamical Systems*, volume 512 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 33–40. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

Michael Khanevsky, Dept. of Mathematics, University of Chicago, 5734 S. University Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

E-mail address: khanev@math.uchicago.edu